Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 27, No. 31, pp 3591-3594, 1986 0040-4039/86 \$3.00 + .00 Pergamon Journals Ltd.

HIGHLY DIASTEREOSELECTIVE SYNTHESIS OF 1,2-EPOXY-4-HYDROXYALKYL CARBAMATES. MASKED AND ACTIVATED α, γ-DIHYDROXY-ALKANALS AND -ALKANONES

Dieter Hoppe^{*a)}, Jörg Lüßmann^{a)}, Peter G. Jones^{b)}, Dieter Schmidt^{b)} and George M. Sheldrick^D

- a) Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Kiel, Olshausenstr. 40, D-2300 Kiel 1, FRG
- b) Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Göttingen, Tammannstr. 4, D-3400 Göttingen, FRG

Diastereomerically pure 4-hydroxy-1-alkenyl N.N-diisopropylcarbamates¹⁾ 1 having the re= lative configuration (\underline{Z})-anti²) are easily prepared from metallated 2-alkenylcarbamates and carbonyl compounds via a titanium-mediated homoaldol reaction³). They represent protected γ -hydroxy-alkanals (for R¹ = H) or -alkanones (for R¹ = alkyl); on Hg²⁺-catalyzed methanoly= zis from the enol carbamates 1 and by subsequent oxidation, trans-disubstituted γ -lactones^{3b}) are formed.



 $OCb = O-C-N(iPr)_2 \qquad R^1, R^2 : see Table$

Here the bonus of consistent double bond configuration is not utilized. The hydroxyldirected epoxidation to form the oxiranes 2 is expected to proceed with high diastereo= facial selection, as was found for appropriate homoallylic alcohols⁴⁾(1, alkyl replaces OCb). Although 2-oxy-oxiranes have rarely proved of value in organic synthesis⁵⁾, we reasoned that in 2 the regio- and stereoselectivity of nucleophilic ring opening might be more facile and more easily controllable because of the hydroxy group.

On treatment of the enol carbamate **la** with <u>m</u>-chloroperbenzoic acid $(CH_2Cl_2 0^{O}C)$, two dia= stereomeric²) epoxides **2a** and **3a** were obtained in a ratio 85 : 15, yield 86%. Employing the Sharpless method^{4b} using <u>tert</u>-butylhydroperoxide/vanadylbis(acetoacetonate), only a single product **2a** was detected (¹H and ¹³C NMR). The carbamates **lb-f** gave similar results (Table 1). The epoxide⁶⁾ **2a** (m.p. 96^oC) was subjected to X-ray crystal structure analysis⁷⁾ (Figure 1) which proved its $(1\underline{R}^*, 2\underline{S}^*, 3\underline{S}^*, 4\underline{S}^*)$ -configuration.

The reaction of the cyclopentane derivative 4 gave a rearranged product, which was elucidated as a bicyclic lactole⁸⁾7 by crystal structure analysis⁹⁾, (Figure 2). Obviously, in the slightly acidic reaction mixture the intermediate spiro-epoxide 5 is opened by the migrating $\underline{N}, \underline{N}$ -diisopropylcarbamoyloxy group with inversion of configuration¹⁰⁾ to form the aldehyde 6 which is in equilibrium with its hemi-acetal 7.



Oxiranes of type 2, which lack a tertiary C-3 atom, are stable under the reaction con= ditions and also survive rapid silica gel chromatography. However, they are very reactive in the presence of Lewis acids. Thus 2a on treatment with acetone and borontrifluoride etherate at -78° C furnished the bicyclic acetal 8 in 77% yield¹¹⁾. Although strong nucleo= philes cause ring opening with inversion at C-3¹²⁾, tertiary amines are tolerated; pro= tection of the free hydroxy group in 2 therefore causes no problems. For instance, from 2a we obtained the acetate 9 (98%), the trimethylsilyl ether 10 (92%) and the MEM-ether 11 (71%) by the usual methods.



i) 1.0 equiv. $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2/acetone -78^{\circ}C.$ ii) Ac_2O , Et_3N , 5 mol % 4-(dimethylamino)pyri= dine, 15 h at $-15^{\circ}C.$ iii) Me_3SiCl , Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , $-70 - 20^{\circ}C.$ iv) MEM-Cl, (iPr)₂NMe, 15 h at $40^{\circ}C.$

<u>General procedure:</u> 5.00 mmol of enolcarbamate 1 and 25 mg (2 mol-%) VO(acac)₂ in dry dichloromethane (40 ml) are stirred at 20° C with 7.50 mmol of a water-free solution of <u>tert</u>-butylhydroperoxide in 1,2-dichloroethane¹³) (6 to 24 h, tlc-analysis). Then dimethyl sul= fide (0.25 ml, 3.5 mmol) is added and stirring is continued for a further 30 min. For work-up, the mixture is extracted by sat. NaHCO₃-solution (2 x 40 ml), sat. KCl-solution (25 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, followed by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether 3:1) affords analytically pure 2 (see Table 1).

Table	1:	(1,2-Epoxy-	4-hydroxy-alkyl)carbamat	.es 2
educt	1 R ¹	R ²	epoxide 2 ^(a,b)	time(h)	yield (%) ^(c)
la	H	(сн ₃) ₂ сн	2a	6	92
lb	Н	(CH ₃) ₃ C	2b	6	86
lc	Н	CH3	2c	6	92
lđ	Н	с _б н ₅	2d	6	90
le	СНЗ	(СН ₃) ₂ СН	2e	24	81
lf	CH3	^с 6 ^н 5	2f	24	81

(a) Epoxides 3 were not detected, diastereomeric ratio 2:3 > 97:3. (b) Satisfactory combustion analyses were obtained. (c) Yield after chromatographic purification.



Fig. 1. One of the two independent mole= cules of 2a in the crystal⁷.

Fig. 2. The molecule of 7 in the crystal⁹⁾.

<u>Acknowledgements:</u> Financial support of this work by the <u>Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft</u> and the <u>Fonds der Chemischen Industrie</u> is gratefully acknowledged.

Dedicated to Professor Albert Mondon on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

- This paper deals with racemic compounds. Only one of the enantiomers is shown.
- R. Hanko, D. Hoppe, Angew. Chem. 94, 378 (1982); <u>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.</u> 21, 372 (1982).
 b) D. Hoppe, R. Brönneke, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 24, 1687 (1983).
 c) E. van Hülsen,

¹⁾ Review: D. Hoppe, Angew. Chem. 96, 930 (1984); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.23, 932(1984).

D. Hoppe, ibid. 26, 411 (1985).

- 4) a) E. D. Mihelich, K. Daniels, D. J. Eickhoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 7690 (1981). Reviews: b) K. B. Sharpless, T. R. Verhoeven, <u>Aldrichim. Acta</u> 12, 63 (1979). c) Y. Kishi, <u>ibid.</u> 13, 23 (1980).
- 5) a) Brigl anhydride, review: N. R. Williams, <u>Adv. Carbohydr. Chem.</u> 25, 163 (1970). b) K. L. Williamson, J. I. Coburn, M. F. Herr, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 32, 3934 (1967), and ref. c) L. Duhamel, P. Duhamel, P. Siret, <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.</u> 1968, 2942, and ref. d) R. A. Amos, J. A. Katzenellenbogen, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 42, 2537 (1977). e) J. Gasteiger, K. Kaufmann, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 26, 4341 (1985).
- 6) 2a, 100 MHz¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.95$ (d, $\underline{J} = 7.0$ Hz; 3-CH₃), 1.02 und 1.05 (je d, $\underline{J} = 7.0$ Hz; CH(CH₃)₂), 1.25 (d, $\underline{J} = 7.0$ Hz; NCH(CH₃)₂), 1.75 2.10 (m; 3-H, 5-H), 2.18 (d, $\underline{J} = 5.0$ Hz; OH), 3.02 (dd, $\underline{J}_{2,1} = 2.8$ Hz, $\underline{J}_{2,3} = 8.5$ Hz; 2-H), 3.40 (ddd, $\underline{J} = 5.0$ Hz, $\underline{J}_{4,3} = 6.5$ Hz, $\underline{J}_{4,5} = 9.0$ Hz; 4-H), 3.75 4.20 (m; NCH), 5.60 (d, $\underline{J}_{1,2} = 2.8$ Hz; 1-H). 25 MHz¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.64$ (3-CH₃), 17.06 und 19.76 (C-6, 5-CH₃), 20.12 21.63 (NCHCH₃), 30.95 (C-5), 35.07 (C-3), 45.66 46.99 (NCH), 57.80 (C-2), 74.62 (C-1), 80.40 (C-4), 154.29 (N-C=0).
- 7) Crystal data for (<u>2a</u>) : <u>Pl</u>, <u>a</u> = 10.133(3), <u>b</u> = 13.487(4), <u>c</u> = 14.051(4) Å, α = 76.59(3), B = 88.33(3), γ = 70.56(3)⁰, <u>Z</u> = 4 (two independent molecules), <u>R</u> = 0.066 for 3167 unique observed reflections (Mo <u>K</u> α , 29_{max} 50⁰).
- 8) 7, m.p. $104^{\circ}C$ (ether/hexane); in CDCl₃ 4:1 mixture of 7/2-epi-7. ¹H NMR(CDCl₃), 7: $^{\circ}$ = 1.20 (d) and 4.0 (m) NCH(CH₃)₂); 1.85 (m; 6-, 7- and 8-H₂); 2.78 (m, 5-H); 3.43 (s, 0H); 4.80 (d, <u>J</u> = 8.5 Hz, 4-H); 5.81 (s, 2-H); 7.4 (m, C₆H₅). 2-epi-7: 4.52 (d, <u>J</u> = 8.5 Hz, 4-H); 5.71 (s, 2-H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃), 7 /2-epi-7/: $^{\circ}$ = 20.95 /20.95/ (CH₃); 26.77 /23.72/ (C-7); 27.45 /28.26/ (C-6); 34.85 /35.44/ (C-8); 45.45 (NCH); 60.04 /56.16/ (C-5); 83.84 /84.24/ (C-4); 100.58 /95.93/ (C-1); 102.02 /99.70/ (C-2); 155.33 /155.15/ (C=0).
- 9) Crystal data for 7 : P2₁/c, <u>a</u> = 6.948(1), <u>b</u> = 24.053(5), <u>c</u> = 11.964 (2) ^A, B = 97.16(2)⁰, <u>Z</u> = 4, <u>R</u> = 0.058 for 2136 unique observed reflections (Mo Kα, 20_{max} 50⁰). Further de= tails of both crystal structure determinations can be obtained from the Fachinforma= tionszentrum Energie Physik Mathematik, 7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2, Fed. Rep. of Germany; please quote the full literature citation and reference number CSD-51622.
- 10) For the similar rearrangements of 2-acetoxy-oxiranes see ref. 5b).
- 11) 8, oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 0.90 (d, $\underline{J} = 7.0$ Hz, 8-CH₃); 1.8 (m), 1.01 and 1.05 (each d, $\underline{J} = 7.0$ Hz, 7-CH(CH₃)₂); 1.30 and 1.49 (each s, 3-CH₃); 1.8 (m, 8-H and 7-CH); 3.59 (dd, $\underline{J}_{7,7'} = 10.0$ Hz, $\underline{J}_{7,8} = 4.0$ Hz, 7-H); 4.47 (dd, $\underline{J}_{1,5} = 4.0$ Hz, $\underline{J}_{1,8} = 4.0$ Hz, 1-H); 5.70 (d, 5-H).
- 12) J. Lüßmann, D. Hoppe, P. G. Jones, C. Fittschen, G. M. Sheldrick, following communication.
- 13) In some experiments, the commercially available solution of 80% tert.-Bu00H in (tert.-Bu)₂0₂ was also used without further purification and gave identical results.

(Received in Germany 29 April 1986)